



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

A

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,001	11/21/2001	Futoshi Hachimura	B422-176	6301
26272	7590	12/19/2005	EXAMINER	
COWAN LIEBOWITZ & LATMAN P.C.			CHAI, LONGBIT	
JOHN J TORRENTE			ART UNIT	
1133 AVE OF THE AMERICAS			PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK, NY 10036			2131	

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/990,001	Applicant(s) HACHIMURA, FUTOSHI	
	Examiner Longbit Chai	Art Unit 2131	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 07 November 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-14,16-19 and 21-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1,3-6,8-14,16-19 and 21-30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 November 2001 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

1. Original application contained claims 1 – 30. Claims 2, 7, 15 and 20 have been canceled; claims 1, 6, 8 14, 19, 21 and 26 – 30 have been amended in an amendment filed on 11/07/2005. The amendment filed have been entered and made of record. Presently, pending claims are 1, 3 – 6, 8 – 14, 16 – 19 and 21 – 30.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/07/2005 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

3. Claims 1, 3, 6, 8 – 14, 16, 19 and 21 – 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (Patent Publication Number: 2002/0052923), in view of Saliba et al. (Patent Publication Number: 2001/0037315).

As per claim 1, 13, 14, 26 – 30, Anderson teaches a communication system having a server for providing a Web E-mail service (Anderson: see for example, Para [0002] Line 3) to a client, wherein said server comprises:

management means for managing a key for decrypting an encrypted E-mail (Anderson: Para [0002] Line 3, Para [0004] Line 10 – 21 and Para [0006] Line 1 – 15: the Email server manages the key by authenticating the clients for access permission to Email);

Anderson does not disclose expressly web encryption communication means for establishing a Web encryption communication with the client, and communicating with the client by the established Web encryption communication.

Saliba teaches web encryption communication means for establishing a Web encryption communication with the client, and communicating with the client by the established Web encryption communication (Saliba: Para [0120]: SSL provides the lower level data encryption communication).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Saliba within the system of Anderson because (a) Anderson teaches providing e-Mail access through web URL, and (b)

Saliba teaches providing e-Mail distribution by protecting the URL via SSL encryption to enhance the security (Saliba: Para [0120]).

Anderson in view of Saliba teaches:

authentication means for executing authentication of the use of allowance of the managed key to said client when said client requests to decrypt the encrypted E-mail (Anderson: Para [0006] Line 10 – 15 and Para [0021] Line 11) while said server communicates with the client by said established Web encryption communication (Anderson: Para [0019] Line 6 – 10 & Saliba: Para [0120]);

decrypting means for decrypting said encrypted E-mail using said managed key (Anderson: see for example, Para [0006] Line 12).

transmission control means for controlling said decrypted E-mail thereby to transmit said decrypted E-mail to said client through said established Web encryption communication (Anderson: see for example, Para [0019] Line 6 – 10: to deliver the E-mail across the network such as internet URL (HTTP) through various nodes and links until it reaches the recipient users) & (Saliba: Para [0120]).

As per claim 3 and 16, Anderson as modified teaches said authentication means provides said client with a window data to authenticate the use allowance of the managed key (Anderson: Para [0021] Line 10 – 11).

As per claim 6 and 19, Anderson as modified teaches said web encryption communication means establishes the Web encryption communication with the client by

using SSL (Anderson: Para [0019] Line 6 – 10 & Saliba: Para [0120]: URL @ HTTP / SSL encryption as to be the standard protocol layer).

As per claim 8 and 21, Anderson as modified teaches said authentication means authenticates the use allowance of the managed key during a session of the Web encryption communication continuously established between said client and a server (Anderson: Para [0021] Line 10 – 11 & Saliba: Para [0120] Line 5 – 11).

As per claim 9 and 22, Anderson as modified teaches said authentication means stops said authenticated use allowance, in the case where at least either the case where said encryption communication is ended with an error or the case where said encryption communication has passed a fixed time is satisfied (Anderson: see for example, Para [0018] the last 3rd & 2nd sentences).

As per claim 10 and 23, Anderson as modified teaches said server further comprises signature means for executing a digital signature to an E-mail required for the digital signature by said client (Anderson: see for example, Para [0022] Line 18 – 19 and Para [0027] Line 1 – 10).

As per claim 11 and 24, Anderson as modified teaches management means for managing whether said key is under multiple use, an said management means comprises stop means for stopping the use allowance of said session under multiple

use in the case where said session is judged to be under multiple use (Anderson: see for example, Para [0027] Line 5 and Para [0018] the last 3rd & 2nd sentences: The recipient's private key must not be used by others).

As per claim 12 and 25, Anderson as modified teaches the key for decrypting said encrypted E-mail is a secret key in a code of a public key cryptosystem (Anderson: see for example, Para [0027] Line 5).

4. Claims 4 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (Patent Number: 2002/0052923, in view of Saliba et al. (Patent Publication Number: 2001/0037315), and in view of McArdle (Patent Number: 6442686).

As per claim 4 and 17, Anderson as modified does not disclose expressly said authentication means authenticates the use allowance using a passphrase inputted from said client.

McArdle teaches said authentication means authenticates the use allowance using a passphrase inputted from said client (McArdle: see for example, Column 2 Line 11 – 18).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of McArdle within the system of Anderson as modified because McArdle teaches providing enhancing security with a Policy

Management Agent to ensure the E-mail must adhere to the policies associated with the E-mail server (McArdle: see for example, Column 3 Line 25 – 30).

5. Claims 5 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson (Patent Number: 2002/0052923), in view of Saliba et al. (Patent Publication Number: 2001/0037315), and in view of Baxter (Patent Number: 6385306).

As per claim 5 and 18, Anderson as modified does not disclose expressly said authentication means authenticates the use allowance based on a biometrics information of a user inputted from said client.

Baxter teaches said authentication means authenticates the use allowance based on a biometrics information of a user inputted from said client (Baxter: see for example, Column 5 Line 45 – 56).


It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Baxter within the system of Anderson as modified because Baxter teaches providing enhancing security by using speech recognition for validating the authenticity of the subscriber record and authorizing the transmission of the E-mail message (Baxter: see for example, Column 5 Line 49 – 56).

Art Unit: 2131


Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Longbit Chai whose telephone number is 571-272-3788. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz R. Sheikh can be reached on 571-272-3795. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).


LBC

Longbit Chai
Examiner
Art Unit 2131


Primary Examiner
AU2131
12/14/05